

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/811,039	03/26/2004		Chan Young Park	2080-3243	9531	
35884	7590	12/06/2005		EXAM	INER	
LEE, HONG, DEGERMAN, KANG & SCHMADEKA, P.C.				KIANNI, F	KIANNI, KAVEH C	
801 SOUTH	<b>FIQUER</b>	OA STREET				
14TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LOS ANGELES, CA 90017			. 2883			

DATE MAILED: 12/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	ιk		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/811,039	PARK, CHAN YOUNG	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kianni C. Kaveh	2883	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the little will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed  n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) T</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under</li> </ul>	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is	
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-15 is/are withdrates</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,2 and 8 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 3-7 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and</li> </ul>	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a) accepted or b) objected the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Serection is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicat riority documents have been receiv eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	KAVEH KIANNI PRIMARY EXAMINER		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal I  6) Other:		
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 5	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a laser display device including a laser light source, an optical fiber transmitting the laser beams generated from the laser light source and light phase controller, classified in class 385, subclass 4.

II. Claims 8-15, drawn to a method of controlling a laser display device, including the step of applying power on a piezo device, causing oscillation in the piezo device and generating a curve in an optical fiber, classified in class 385, subclass 32.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case Invention I can be practiced using mechanical means for controlling light phase rather than using a piezo device as claimed in invention II. Thus, each of the above group limitations is directed toward an invention that would require a different search that that of other group inventions.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Luckhardt on 11/15/05 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-8.

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Claims 9-15 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8 recites the limitation 'the piezo device' in 2<sup>nd</sup> line. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Correction is required. The correction may make this claim allowable.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2883

Claims 3-7 are allowable because the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination. fails to disclose or render obvious wherein the light phase controller comprises: a piezo device; first and second electrodes respectively formed on upper and lower surfaces of the piezo device, and providing power to the piezo device; and first and second fixation plates formed on the first electrode to fix the optical fiber in combination with the rest of the limitations of the base claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weinberger et al. (US 5461686).

Regarding claims 1, 2, Weinberger teaches a laser device (see at least fig. 1 and col. 3, lines 9-27) comprising: a laser light source generating laser beams (see at least col. 3, lines 9-27); an optical fiber 52 transmitting the laser beams generated from the laser light source; and a light phase controller (shown in fig. 5, items 53-56) forming a curve in the optical fiber 52, so as to control a phase of the laser beams passing through the optical fiber 51 (see at least abstract).

Page 5

Art Unit: 2883

However, Weinberger regarding the above Preamble Limitation 'laser device' does not teach 'laser display device' and wherein the laser light source includes a red laser light source, a green laser light source, and a blue laser light source.

Although the examiner does not give any patentable weight to 'display' since such limitation does not have any support in the body of the claimed invention, nevertheless, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art when the invention was made to use the above laser device system in the 'laser display device' and also such colored beam laser is extremely conventional --as also referred by the applicant as prior art teaching (see specification, parag. 0012), see also prior art listed belowand since such usage would provide sensing mechanism/measurements possible over wide range of parameters (see col. 1, 1st parag.).

#### Citation of Relevant Prior Art

Prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In accordance with MPEP 707.05 the following references are pertinent in rejection of this application since they provide substantially the same information disclosure as this patent does. These references are:

US 6104371 A Wang; Ting et al. <u>teaches conventional RGB color laser fiber for display</u>
US 5715337 A Spitzer; Mark Bradley et al. <u>Teaches Red blue and Green laser fiber for display</u>

JP 04256801 HIROTA, KATSUHIKO et al. teach at least claim 1

JP 01236070 A YANO, NOBUYUKI teach at least claim 1

US 5315365 A Hakimi; Hosain et al.

US 6358748 B1 Weiss; Jonathan D.

Art Unit: 2883

US 5633494 A Danisch; Lee

US 4102579 A Stewart; William James

These references are cited herein to show the relevance of the apparatus/methods taught within these references as prior art.

### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to K. Cyrus Kianni whose telephone number is (571) 272-2417.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font, can be reached at (571) 272-2415.

### Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (for formal communications intended for entry)

or:

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Plaza 4, 2021 South Clark Place, Arlington, VA., Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

KAVEH KIANNI PRIMARY EXAMINER

K. Cyrus Kianni Primary Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 2883